



## Key points on electronic training collars

### Please speak and vote against attempts to ban them because:

- They save the lives of pets. There are approximately 350,000 collars in use in the UK. Over 70% of survey responders believed, based on their experience, that the collar prevented their pet from being killed on the road. That would represent just under a quarter of a million pets' lives saved by electronic training collars.
- They are based on well-established learning principles, making it easy for the pet to understand and respond.
- They startle (and interrupt inappropriate behaviour) rather than hurt.
- The pet quickly recognises that the opportunity for misbehaviour is gone and usually experiences only a very small number of static corrections.
- They give the pet more freedom in the garden (fencing system) or out walking (remote trainer). Owners report pets are contented.
- Dogs that barked incessantly are calmer and less stressed with the anti bark collar (scientific proof available).
- The collar gives peace of mind to the owner that their pet can be safely and humanely controlled.
- By improving control, allowing safe freedom and calming pets, the owner/pet relationship is improved and made more enjoyable for pet and owner.

### If the products are banned,

- More pets will die in road accidents,
- More dogs will be shot by farmers,
- More people and other animals, including other dogs, will be at risk from uncontrolled, aggressive dogs,
- More dogs will suffer from greatly restricted freedom which can lead to frustration-related destructiveness, barking and aggression,
- More dogs will be returned to shelters or euthanased.